



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/462,493	01/21/2000	TOSHIYUKI MORII	P18963	5153	
75	590 03/19/2003				
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN			EXAMINER		
1941 ROLAND CLARK PLACE RESTON, VA 20191 AR				TRONG, ANGELA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2654	<u>a</u>	
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2003	١,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		09/462,493	MORII ET AL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Angela A. Armstrong	2654	M			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	oppears on the cover sheet v	rith the correspondence address	9			
THE M - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the main dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	<u> 2 January 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3) <u></u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice undo on of Claims						
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 8-20 is/are pending in th	e application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 8-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10)[] 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	•					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.				
42)□ 7	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	· •					
,—	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examilie.					
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	:::	C 440(a) (d) an (f)				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	9 119(a)-(d) or (1).				
a)L	All b) Some * c) None of:	anta haya haan raqaiyad					
	 Certified copies of the priority docume Certified copies of the priority docume 		Application No.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
	application from the International I ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	n).			
	D ☐ The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
Attachment	(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 3-6, and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minde et al (US Patent No. 5,991,717) in view of Zinser (US Patent No. 5,060,269).
- Regarding claims 1, 3-6, and 8-20, Minde teaches
 an adaptive codebook in which previously synthesized excitation signals are stored; at
 col. 2, line 64 and col. 3, lines 33-38

a stochastic codebook in which a plurality of excitation vectors are stored, said stochastic codebook having a first subcodebook in which excitation vectors composed of a small number of pulses are stored and a second subcodebook in which excitation vectors composed of a large number of pulses are stored; at col. 7, lines 3-12

obtaining a synthesized speech using excitation information acquired from said adaptive codebook and said stochastic codebook, using LPC obtained by performing LPC analysis on an input speech signal; at col. 2, line 65

obtaining gain information for said synthesized speech using a relation of said synthesized speech and said input speech signal; at col. 3, lines 6-25

Art Unit: 2654

transmitting said LPC, said excitation information and said gain information, as inherent in the speech coder.

Minde et al do not specifically teach executing a voice/unvoiced judgment or calculating the gain of the stochastic codebook to account for the difference in the number of pulses in the codebooks.

Refer to Zinser who teaches a hybrid switched multi-pulse/stochastic speech coding technique, which makes a voice/unvoiced judgment and implements a modified method for calculating the gain during stochastic excitation (abstract), for the purpose of improving unvoiced speech performance in low-rate coders.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the system of Minde et al to implement voice/unvoiced judgments and modified method for calculating the gain during stochastic excitation, as taught by Zinser, for the purpose of improving unvoiced speech performance in low-rate coders.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 11-12, and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-6, 8-10, 13-14, and 15-20, filed January 2, 2003, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 5, 10, 18 and 20, applicant argues that neither Minde, Zinser, nor any proper combination thereof, disclose an instructor that instructs an excitation vector to be

Art Unit: 2654

acquired from a first subcodebook and said second subcodebook corresponding to a distance between excitation vectors in said first subcodebook.

Regarding claims 6, 13, and 17, applicant argues that neither Minde, Zinser, nor any proper combination thereof, disclose a controller that controls a gain for respective excitation vectors in a first subcodebook and a second subcodebook corresponding to a distance between pulses of the excitation vectors in said first subcodebook.

In response to Applicants arguments regarding claims 5-6, 10, 13, 17-18, and 20, the Examiner disagrees and argues that Zinser teaches a hybrid switched multi-pulse/stochastic speech coding technique, which makes a voice/unvoiced judgment and implements a modified method for calculating the gain during stochastic excitation (abstract, col. 4, line 58 continuing to col. 5, line48). The voiced/unvoiced determination of Zinser is based on pulse distances; the distance between the pulses exist via the voice/unvoiced relationship. Thus, the teachings of Zinser reads on "...corresponding to a distance between excitation vectors in said first subcodebook" and "...corresponding to a distance between pulses of the excitation vectors in said first subcodebook." Therefore, the combination of Minde and Zinser read on the limitations of claims 5-6, 10, 13, 17-18, and 20.

Art Unit: 2654

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/462,493 Page 6

Art Unit: 2654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 703-308-6258.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for

regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Angela A. Armstrong

Examiner

Art Unit 2654

AAA

March 13, 2003

Marcha O Bomb-Harold

MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600